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## 2019 v. 2018

### Items relating to: INDIVIDUAL TAXPAYERS QUALIFIED BUSINESS INCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filing Status</th>
<th>Tax Year 2019</th>
<th>Tax Year 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>$160,700</td>
<td>$157,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married Jointly</td>
<td>$321,400</td>
<td>$315,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head of Household</td>
<td>$160,700</td>
<td>$157,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TWO MAIN RULES TO KNOW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Situation</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong> Specified Service Trade or Business</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Taxpayer's Taxable Income is under $315,000 for Taxpayers married filing jointly, or $157,500 for single filers</td>
<td><strong>No Limitation applies</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Taxpayer's Taxable Income is between $315,000-$415,000 for Taxpayers married filing jointly or $157,500-$207,500 for single filers</td>
<td>Limitation is phased in by the amount Taxable Income exceeds threshold amount. Example – MFJ Taxable Income of $365,000. Deduction is equal to 10% of QBI (50% ((365-315)/100) * 20% Deduction).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Taxpayer's Taxable Income Exceeds $415,000 for Taxpayers married filing jointly or $207,500 for single filers</td>
<td><strong>No Deduction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong> Wage and Qualified Property Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Taxpayer's Taxable Income is under $315,000 for Taxpayers married filing jointly, or $157,500 for single filers</td>
<td><strong>No Limitation applies</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Taxpayer's Taxable Income is between $315,000-$415,000 for Taxpayers married filing jointly or $157,500-$207,500 for single filers</td>
<td>Limitation is phased in by the amount Taxable Income exceeds threshold amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Taxpayer's Taxable Income Exceeds $415,000 for Taxpayers married filing jointly or $207,500 for single filers</td>
<td>Limitation applies unless 50% of Wages or 25% of Wages plus 2.5% of Qualified Property are met at the entity level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deduction is Provided for Qualified Business Income

Qualified business income can only come from five places:

1. **S corporation K-1 income** (includes income from LLCs taxed as S corporations).
2. **Partnership K-1 income** (includes income from LLCs taxed as partnerships).
3. **Trade or Business income** reported on Schedule C of a taxpayer’s Form 1040 (includes income from single member LLC that is disregarded for income tax purposes).
4. **Net rental income** reported on Schedule E of Form 1040.
5. **Farm income** reported on Schedule F of Form 1040.

**Note** - For most taxpayers, K-1 income is cash based, but pension deductions are commonly accrued - you have until December 31st to put new pension plans into place that may be funded next year for 199A and other planning.
The following chart can help the reader understand where each aspect of Section 199A planning fits into the overall tax provision.

The author thanks Michael Kitces for permission to build upon his overall summary chart!
BEFORE 199A PLANNING

Dr. Jones

Mrs. Jones

$350,000 Salary

TBE

Medical Practice S-Corporation

$4,000,000 of Income

Dr. and Mrs. Jones have $4,350,000 of taxable income and pay income taxes of $1,548,879 for an effective tax rate of 35.61%.

Dr. Jones will also owe $26,972 in Employment Taxes.

Total Taxes = $1,575,851
What Do The New 199A Regulations Say?

Special Session for CPA’s and Tax Advisors 1.21.19

drassman@gassmanpa.com
b randon@gassmanpa.com
john@gassmanpa.com

Medical Practice Management Co.

$857,160 of Income

$1,200,000 Management Fee

Medical Practice S-Corporation

$2,800,000 of Income

SALT

Dr. Jones

Mrs. Jones

$350,000 Salary

70% TEE

Child 1 (Married w/ $100,000 of other income)

Distributes $118,000 of Income
Trust Retains $45,000

Complex Trust for Child 1

Child 2 (Married w/ $100,000 of other income)

Distributes $118,000 of Income
Trust Retains $45,000

Complex Trust for Child 2

Child 3 (Single w/ no other income)

Distributes $257,500 of Income
Trust Retains $122,500

Complex Trust for Child 3

Dr. and Mrs. Jones Tax Liability:

100% of Medical Practice Management Co. $ 857,160
70% of Medical Practice S-Corp $ 1,960,000
Section 199A Deduction (20% of Management Income) $ (172,432)
Dr. Jones Salary from Management Co. $ 342,440
Dr. Jones Salary from Practice $ 350,000

Total Taxable Income $ 3,338,548
Total Income Taxes $ 1,174,849
Total Employment Taxes $ 40,000
Total Taxes Paid by Dr. and Mrs. Jones $ 1,214,849

Children and Trust Income Tax Liability from Medical Practice:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child 1</th>
<th>Child 1 Trust</th>
<th>Child 2</th>
<th>Child 2 Trust</th>
<th>Child 3</th>
<th>Child 3 Trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>$ 215,000</td>
<td>$ 65,000</td>
<td>$ 215,000</td>
<td>$ 65,000</td>
<td>$ 157,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199A Deduction</td>
<td>$ (43,000)</td>
<td>(13,000)</td>
<td>(43,000)</td>
<td>(13,000)</td>
<td>(31,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Taxable Income</td>
<td>$ 172,000</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>$ 172,000</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Taxes Paid</td>
<td>$ 29,859</td>
<td>7,380</td>
<td>$ 29,859</td>
<td>7,380</td>
<td>$ 32,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Tax Savings Per Year = $ 236,824</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Taxes Paid by Family = $ 1,339,027
Proposed Regulations Declare War
On Complex Trusts

1. A complex trust established for the purpose of avoiding tax under Section 199A will be “disrespected”, which apparently means that it will not qualify for the Section 199A deduction, and that income distributed by such trust to beneficiaries will also not qualify.

2. Multiple trusts that can benefit the same beneficiaries during the same year may be considered to be only one trust for all tax purposes under Section 643(f), and Proposed Regulation Section 1.199A-6.
Use of Trusts to Avoid 199A Limitations Under Final Regulations

Trusts which are separately taxed and held for the benefit of family members can be structured to receive income that is either accumulated or distributed, whereby the trust will pay tax on income accumulated, and the beneficiary or beneficiaries will pay tax to the extent of income distributed.

• When Section 199A was first passed, the estate planning community was ready to mobilize a great number of these trusts that would own interests in SSTBs, management companies and non-SSTB companies owned by high earner taxpayers, so that each separate trust could accumulate up to the amount at which the limitation would kick in.

• The Final Regulations provide that a separately taxed trust that is “formed or funded with a principal purpose of avoiding, or of using more than one, threshold amount for purposes of calculating the deduction under Section 199A” will be considered as aggregated with its contributor for Section 199A purposes.

• The Final Regulations was also changed from referencing “Trusts formed or funded...” under the Proposed Regulations to “A trust formed or funded...” under the Final Regulations, meaning that this not only applies to the creation of multiple trusts, but can also apply to the creation of a single trust.
Each of the four 678 Trusts would receive $150,000 of Section 199A income which will be taxed at 29.6% minus $1,000.

Annual Expected Savings of 7% of $600,000 = $42,000 per yr.
Use of Section 678 Grantor Trust

The Final Regulations did not impose any limitation on the use of Section 678 Trusts, which are irrevocable trusts which are considered as owned by the beneficiary or beneficiaries thereof. In fact, Final Regulations specifically state that trusts that are considered as owned by a specific individual or individuals under the “Grantor Trust Rules” will be “treated as owned by the grantor or other person,” and therefore appear to not be subject to these rules.

• For example: a mother and father could place part ownership of their S corporation stock into a trust that is considered as owned by their daughter for income tax purposes.

• This is accomplished by special provisions in the trust that may give the daughter the right to withdraw the stock contributed to the trust within thirty days of when it is contributed thereto.

• After the thirty days lapses, the daughter will have no further withdrawal or control rights, and an Independent Trustee who is replaceable by the parents (which may be the daughter) can determine if and when the trust will make distributions to the daughter.

• The K-1 income from the S corporation with respect to such stock will be reported on the daughter’s personal income tax return, to qualify for the Section 199A deduction assuming that the daughter’s income is below the threshold levels.
FLOWS THROUGH ENTITY TAX OPPORTUNITIES

DOCTOR OWNERS OF MEDICAL PRACTICE IN S CORP ARRAY
(With total income over $415,000/married or $207,500/single)

MEDICAL PRACTICE ($ corp)

- PENSION
  - 0% (100% Deductible)
  - 270,000 / 37% Employment Taxes (after deductions)
- DOCTOR SALARY
  - 19,708.92 Employment Taxes (after deductions)
  - Includes additional (0.9% Medicare Tax)
- CHILDREN
  - $6,000
  - $753 in employment taxes
- PARENTS
  - $6,000
  - $753 in employment taxes

(Tax deductible)

TRUST

C CORPORATION

C corp profits - 28.5% (combined federal and Florida)
May provide tax advantageous fringe benefits to employees.
May be sold income tax-free if qualifies under Section 1202.

S CORPORATION, BILLING, FACTORING, MANAGEMENT COMPANY

- Profits paid to doctor owners
  - 29.6% or lower
  - Kiddie Tax may apply
- CHILDREN
- DOCTOR'S PARENTS

(Dividends taxed at 37%)

* Assumes that 20% Section 199A Deduction Applies (37% * 20% = 74.6%)
** If Kiddie Tax applies income will be taxed at Trust tax rates and taxed at highest bracket if income exceeds $12,700
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Significant Changes in Final Regulations

1. The new definition for performances of services in the field of health is no longer limited to those who provide medical services directly to a patient, which significantly broadens this definition.

2. Architects and engineers were specifically excluded from the definition of performance of services in the field of consulting.

3. Life insurance excluded as an SSTB.

4. The Final Regulations eliminated the incidental SSTB limitation whereby SSTB’s providing products and/or services that were incidental to their SSTB trade or business would need to exceed 5% of the total combined gross receipts of the trade or business in order to be considered a separate trade or business and not aggregated as part of the SSTB.

5. The Final Regulations do clarify that the S portion and non-S portion of an ESBT will be treated as a single trust for the purpose of determining the applicable threshold amount.

6. The Final Regulations confirm that the SSTB limitation and the W-2 and wage/property limitation also applies to publicly traded partnerships.
Significant Changes in Final Regulations

7. The Final Regulations allows a partnership to take into account basis adjustments using a modified version of Section 743(b) when a Section 754 election is in place.

8. The Final Regulations corrected the taxpayer unfriendly position under the Proposed Regulations that the UBIA of property contributed to a S-Corporation or Partnership would be based upon the basis of the property on the date of contribution, which could result in a step-down in basis if property was depreciable.

The Final Regulations provide that the UBIA of contributed property will retain the basis of the property when it was first placed into service by the contributing partner or shareholder.

9. The Final Regulations confirm that qualified property that is eligible to receive a new fair market value income tax basis under IRC Section 1014 will be considered to have been placed in service at the date of death fair market value with a new depreciation period having started.
Significant Changes in Final Regulations

10. The Proposed Regulations had provided special rules for when a trade or business has 50% or more common ownership with an SSTB, and provides property or services to the commonly owned SSTB.

The Proposed Regulations provided that a trade or business that provided more than 80% of its property or services to an SSTB and had at least 50% common related party ownership would be treated as an SSTB. When there was at least 50% common ownership and less than 80% of the property or services were provided to the related party SSTB then the related entity would be considered to be an SSTB in proportion to the products and services provided to the SSTB.

The Final Regulations eliminate the 80% rule, and simply provide that if there is more than 50% or more common ownership, the portion of the trade or business providing property or services to the 50% or more commonly owned SSTB, will be treated as a separate SSTB, with the income attributable to the services provided to non-SSTBs being considered to be non-SSTB income.
(When a Related Trade or Business Becomes a Pseudo-SSTB)

SERVICE TRADE OR BUSINESS ILLUSTRATION CHART

UNRELATED PARTIES

DOCTORS

INVESTORS

DOCTORS/INVESTORS/RELATED PARTIES

Entity that provides property, services or products

Can be a 199A Qualified Trade or Business

Specified Service Trade or Business (SSTB)

50% OR LESS

50% OR MORE

100%

Treated as a separate SSTB to extent property, services or products are provided to commonly controlled SSTB.

Entity that provides property, services or products

Can be a 199A Qualified Trade or Business

Non Specified Service Trade or Business (Non-SSTB)

100%

Can be a 199A Qualified Trade or Business to extent property, services or products are provided to Non-SSTB
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Significant Changes in Final Regulations

11. The Final Regulations provide that a separately taxed trust that is “formed or funded with a principal purpose of avoiding, or of using more than one, threshold amount for purposes of calculating the deduction under Section 199A” will be considered as aggregated with its contributor for Section 199A purposes.

12. The Final Regulations was also changed from referencing “Trusts formed or funded...” under the Proposed Regulations to “A trust formed or funded...” under the Final Regulations, meaning that this not only applies to the creation of multiple trusts, but can also apply to the creation of a single trust.
Expenses Deductible in Determining Qualified Business Income

The Preamble to the Final Regulations recognized that any expense that is deductible for federal income tax purposes will be considered as an expense in the determination of Qualified Business Income under Section 199A, including the deductible portion (50%) of employment taxes on self-employment income under Section 164(f), the self-employed health insurance deduction under Section 162(l) and the deduction for contributions to qualified retirement plans under Section 404.

The Treasury Department and the IRS declined to address whether deductions for unreimbursed partnership expenses, the interest expensed to acquire partnership and S corporation interest, and state and local taxes are attributable to a trade or business, stating that “such guidance is beyond the scope of such regulations.” — WHAT A COP-OUT!
Qualified Business Income ("QBI") Must Be Income from an “Active Trade or Business”

The Final Regulations tell us to use the IRC Section 162 definition.

Under the Final Regulations, a triple net lease will not qualify as a Trade or Business for the purpose of determining eligibility for the Section 199A deduction under any circumstance.

Interest income from lending activities that are not “an active trade or business” will not qualify.

Exception for leasing - under the Final Regulations, a passive lease to an active trade or business with common ownership will be considered active if the tenant is not a Specified Trade or Business.

An active lease between a specified trade or business and affiliated owner will be considered to be a separate Specified Trade or Business under the Final Regulations.
Rental Real Estate Safe Harbor –
IRS NOTICE 2019-7

Part III – Administrative, Procedural, and Miscellaneous
Section 199A Trade or Business Safe Harbor: Rental Real Estate

• Provides that real estate rented or leased under a triple net lease is not eligible under the safe harbor, even though a taxpayer who has an active business of entering into and selling triple net leases may still be considered to be sufficiently active to qualify as a trade or business under the case law.

• Defines a triple net lease to include an agreement that requires:
  • tenant to pay taxes, fees and insurance, and to be responsible for maintenance in addition to rent and utilities,
  • includes leases that require the tenant to pay common area maintenance expenses, which are when a tenant pays for its allocable portion of the landlord’s taxes, fees, insurance and maintenance activities, which are allocable to the portion of the property rented.

The definition seems to leave open the ability to avoid triple net lease status by having tenant responsible for some portion of the maintenance, taxes, fees, insurances and other expenses that would normally be payable by a landlord.
Many landlords will be well advised to offer significant rent reductions to tenants who are willing to pay for some part of one or more items, so that the landlord can fit within the safe harbor to reduce the effective tax rate on taxable income from 37% to 29.6%, in addition to whatever may be saved in state income taxes and state sales taxes as a result of such adjustments.

Safe harbor cannot be used by taxpayers who rent their personal residences out for part of the year.

Rental Real Estate Enterprise under safe harbor

- Defined as an ownership interest in real estate that is rented, and may consist of one or more properties.

- An individual relying upon the safe harbor, or a partnership or S-corporation entity that owns the applicable interest in the real estate, the income from which may qualify for the Section 199A deduction must own the real estate directly or through another entity that is disregarded for income tax purposes (i.e. a single member LLC).

**NOTE** – A tax lawyer or other advisor should be consulted if the individual or the entity taxed as an S corporation or partnership does not directly own the applicable real estate to see if the disregarded entity rules will apply.
• Each individual taxpayer, estate or trust can elect to treat each separate party as a separate enterprise, or all similar properties as a single enterprise, for purposes of applying the safe harbor rules, except for the following notable exceptions:

1. Commercial and residential real estate cannot be considered as part of the same enterprise for testing purposes; and

2. Triple net leased real estate and real estate used as a residence by the taxpayer cannot be part of an aggregated enterprise for testing purposes, because they cannot qualify to be included in the safe harbor.

• The following requirements must be satisfied during each year to allow the income from the enterprise to be eligible for the safe harbor:

1. Separate books and records maintained to reflect the income and expenses for each enterprise.

2. Contemporaneous records created to include time reports, logs or similar documents which are kept regarding the hours of all services performed, the description of all services performed, the dates upon which the services are performed and who performed the services, with respect to tax years beginning January 1, 2019.
3. For years 2018 through 2022, 250 or more hours of rental services must be performed to qualify the property for the safe harbor in each calendar year.

Rental services include:

• Time spent by owners employees, agents and independent contractors of owners, which can include management and maintenance companies who have personnel who keep and provide such contemporaneous records.

**NOTE:** Time spent by computers that have artificial intelligence will not apply, so taxpayers may elect to use low cost offshore call room and similar workers to effectuate many task that may add to the time spent to facilitate reading 250 hours per year, i.e. providing tenants with additional services that can be provided by such inexpensive call center employees to schedule periodic inspections, insect treatments, insurance renewals and the condition of building systems, etc.

• Advertising to rent or lease the properties.

• Negotiating and executing leases.

• Verifying information contained in tenant applications.
Rental services include:

- Collecting rent.
- Daily operation, management and repair.
- Management of the real estate.
- The purchase of materials.
- Supervision of employees and independent contractors.

250 hours divided by 52 weeks is 4.8 hours a week, or approximately 21 hours each month.
Does Rental Income Qualify For The New 20% Section 199A Deduction?

The new Proposed Regulations indicate that if a taxpayer has an active business, like a factory or an engineering firm, and directly or indirectly leases property to the firm, then the net income from the leasing arrangement will be considered to be an active trade or business for Section 199A purposes.

On the other hand, where the tenant under an arrangement is not an active business that is affiliated by at least 50% ownership with the landlord, then by the terms of the Proposed Regulations a definition of “trade or business” which comes from Internal Revenue Code Section 162 will be used. Section 162 dates back to 1926, and controls when a taxpayer can take deductions for expenses incurred in an “active trade or business.”

The court cases interpreting Section 162 have not always been kind to landlords. In particular, there needs to be something more than a long-term triple net lease where a landlord just collects rent and does very little else in order to qualify as being a trade or business.

A landlord that provides active management relating to a particular building, or at least administers common area expenses, should probably be able to take the Section 199A deduction, but someone who simply bought a building that is triple net leased to a large company where the large company does everything and simply sends a check to the property owner will probably not qualify, although this is not clear.

One example in the Proposed Regulations provides that an individual who manages and leases vacant property to an airport is able to take the deduction.

The primary focus of this example was not whether the landlord was in a “trade or business,” but it seems like the only reason the IRS would have had to mention that the landlord manages the airport property would be to show that it must be an active trade or business.

A second example in the Proposed Regulations provides the same language for a parking garage rental.

Based upon these examples, it appears that taxpayers who have passive triple net leases and are not otherwise active in the leasing business will not qualify for this 20% deduction, although landlords under triple net lease arrangements might be engaged in continuous due diligence, negotiating, and buying and selling properties that are triple net leased and therefore be considered to be in an active trade or business for the purposes of this deduction.

Why Landlords With Triple Net Leases Are Likely Punished Under The New Tax Law

Section 199A was added to the Internal Revenue Code under the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 to provide taxpayers with a 20% deduction from income attributable to qualifying trades or businesses.

One immediate question under the new law was whether the definition of a “trade or business” would include a landlord who is in the business of collecting rent and performing only incidental duties under a Lease Agreement.

This will make a big difference for landlords who have a net profit because rent income exceeds depreciation, interest and operating deductions.

New Proposed Regulations were issued on August 8th which provide some degree of guidance, but also confusion.
Definition of Wages

For taxpayers with more than $157,500 of taxable income (or $315,000, if married), the full deduction (or any deduction whatsoever if over $217,500/$415,000) is lost, if the Qualified Business Income entity has not paid sufficient wages and/or does not have sufficient “Unified Basis Immediately After Acquisition (“UBIA”)” basis.

Assuming no Qualified Property, the 199A deduction from any given entity or activity is limited to 50% of the wages allocated to the taxpayer.

Example - If the taxpayer’s share of Qualified Business Income is $100,000, the maximum deduction would be $20,000, and wages paid by the entity would need to be at least $40,000 ($20,000 x 2 = $40,000). $40,000 divided by $140,000 is 28.7%.

Therefore, a client that has not paid wages and would otherwise have $100,000 of income, and is a high earner, will need to pay $28,700 in wages to have $71,300 in 199A Qualified Business Income to deduct.

Note - that certain separate trades or businesses can be aggregated for Wage and Qualified Property testing purposes
**Definition of Wages, continued**

Wages include all W-2 payments made by a Schedule C, E or F business, an S corporation or a partnership, except as follows:

(a) Compensation paid by a partnership to a partner will not qualify and is instead called a “Guaranteed Payment”

**Note** While the definition of “guaranteed payments” in the partnership tax includes payments for the use of capital, the IRS concluded that an individual or entity taxed as an S corporation or partnership that receives guaranteed payments for having provided capital to a partnership cannot characterize such income as trade or business income that will qualify for the Section 199A deduction.

For example, an S corporation or partnership that provides capital to a separate LLC taxed as a partnership and receives payments based upon 15% of such capital contribution amount each year for 10 years will not be able to receive a 20% income tax deduction on such income.
Definition of Wages, continued

Wages include all W-2 payments made by a Schedule C, E or F business, an S corporation or a partnership, except as follows:

(b) An individual Schedule C, E, or F owner cannot pay herself wages (but can pay wages to her spouse).

Note that wages include most pension contributions, health plan expenses and many other employment expenses.

Note that S corporations are required to pay reasonable wages to shareholders/owners who render valuable services. Several comments were received by the IRS in response to the Proposed Regulations requesting some sort of safe harbor so that tax return preparers would not be subject to possible penalties if they signed off on tax returns where there was unreasonably low compensation paid to an S corporation shareholder. The Service concluded that providing additional guidance with respect to this was beyond the scope of the Final Regulations.

The Final Regulations retain the provisions related to employee leasing, professional employer organizations (PEOs) and common paymaster arrangements – for one company to pay wages for another under a common paymaster arrangement the employee must do some work for the common paymaster.
### Chart 2 – W-2 WAGES BY ENTITY CHART

This chart simplifies who can be paid W-2 wages for the purposes of maximizing a Section 199A deduction from the standpoint of the flow-through entity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which employees below can be paid W-2 wages by the business structures listed on the right?</th>
<th>Sole Proprietorship</th>
<th>Partnership</th>
<th>S Corporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owners/employees with ownership interest</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-owner employees</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouses (with no ownership interest)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent contractors</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TAXPAYER**

**S CORPORATION**

**PARTNERSHIP**

**OTHER PARTNER**

**SPOUSE 1**

**SCHEDULE C TRADE OR BUSINESS**

**Pays wages to Spouse 2**

Married proprietors may pay wages to a spouse, but not an owner.

Consider contributing Partnership interest to S corporation to allow wages to be paid to the Taxpayer from the Partnership/eliminate guaranteed payments.

---

**agassman@gassmanpa.com**

**bbrandon@gassmanpa.com**

**john@gassmanpa.com**
Allocation of Items Among Multiple Trades or Businesses

The Final Regulations provide that if a taxpayer is operating multiple trades or businesses then the taxpayer must allocate wages, qualified property, and other items among the trades or businesses using a reasonable allocation method based on all facts and circumstances.

The allocation method chosen must be applied consistently from one tax year to the next.

Different allocation methods may be used for different items of income, gain, deduction and loss so long as the chosen method clearly reflects the income and expenses of each trade or business.

If a method is no long reasonable or no longer clearly reflects the income and expenses of the trade or business, then the allocation method can be changed so long as the new method is reasonable and is applied consistently going forward.
Why Aggregation is Crucial

Flow-Through Business Owners Line Up For Advice On New 199A Deduction

This will include the personal "Schedule C" income for people who have their own businesses, and K-1 income that comes from ownership in S-corporations and partnerships when certain requirements are met. It does not apply to income from a C corporation.

Tens of thousands of taxpayers will be able to change how their businesses, rental activities, and professions are structured to be eligible to take this deduction, and proposed regulations that should help decipher these rules and define avenues of permissible and sometimes frowned upon strategies will be released any day now to be studied by thousands of tax advisors.

It provides owners of flow-through trades or businesses (often called pass-through entities) a significant tax break to rival the new, lower tax rate for C corporations. However, the 21% rate for C corporations is deceptive, because double taxation, state corporation taxes, as well as Net Investment Income tax on higher income individuals can distort the effective tax rate to above 40%.

Likewise, many advisors have touted the 29.6% tax rate on flow-through income, which represents income taxed at 37% individual bracket reduced by the 20% deduction (37% * 20% = 29.6%), but this only applies to income in the highest individual tax bracket, which for single filers is income above $500,000, and for married filers is income above $600,000. Individuals with taxable income in the 24% bracket may have their flow-through income taxed at 19.2% (24% * 20% = 19.2%) for a savings of only 4.8%. The 199A deduction represents a significant opportunity for a great many savvy business owners to save money.

The 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) introduced Internal Revenue Code Section 199A, which could potentially provide millions of taxpayers with a 20% deduction for qualifying "flow-through" income, known under the statute as qualified business income.

Proposed Regulations permitted election to aggregate multiple trades and businesses for purposes of wage and qualified property testing under section 199A.

The Regulations create a new method of aggregation of trades and businesses so that taxpayers can combine multiple trades or businesses for the purposes of applying the wage and Qualified Property limitations and maximizing the deduction. In order to be aggregated, the businesses must meet the following requirements:

a. The same person or group of persons directly or indirectly own 50% or more of each trade or business; (although minority owners may aggregate if 50% or more test is met by other owners – Each owner makes separate decision on what to aggregate – a minority owner may still aggregate if there is 50% or more common ownership with others.)

b. For purposes of determining ownership under this subsection, ownership by spouses, as well as children, grandchildren, and parents, can be attributed to each other;

c. The ownership existed for a majority of the tax year;

d. The items must be reported on returns within the same taxable year;

e. None of the businesses must be a Specified Service Trade or Business; and

f. The aggregated trades or business must also satisfy at least two of the following requirements:
   i. The trade or businesses provide products or services that are the same or customarily offered together;
   ii. The trade or businesses share facilities or significant centralized business elements such as personnel, accounting, legal, manufacturing, purchasing, human resources, or information technology resources; and
   iii. The trades or businesses are operated in coordination with, or reliance upon, one or more of the businesses in the aggregated group (for example, supply chains interdependencies).
Election to aggregate under Proposed Regulations, 

continued

A series of fourteen well-written examples beginning at Section 1.199A-4(d) demonstrate that a taxpayer owning less than 50% of multiple entities when another taxpayer owns more than 50% of each entity, and elects to aggregate the minority interests therein, if the other rules are satisfied.

Aggregation will allow wages and Qualified Property to be considered as paid for all of the entities, so that the deduction can be taken for an entity that has little or no wages or Qualified Property if another entity has sufficient wages and Qualified Property for both its own income and the income of affiliates. The examples point out that losses from an entity that could be aggregated must be netted against the aggregate profits of other applicable entities, if any aggregation occurs.

One example indicates that ownership of a sailboat racing team and a marina by separate companies would not be aggregated, but that ownership of a trucking company that delivers lumber and other supplies in one company, operation of a lumber yard in another company, and operation of a construction business that presumably uses lumber and other supplies, can be aggregated.

Once a taxpayer chooses to aggregate two or more trades or businesses, they must be consistently reported and aggregated for all subsequent taxable years, unless there is a change in facts and circumstance so that a taxpayer’s prior aggregation no longer qualifies for aggregation.

The implications of all of this to professional advisers can be daunting. In some instances, modeling the various options may be the only way to determine what the actual impact of various decisions might be. Practitioners should be cautious about providing conclusions to clients with specificity without the opportunity to perform the appropriate analysis. The costs of the level of detailed analysis that might be necessary in many instances will be a concern for many clients.
Other Aggregation Related Rules Under Final Regulations

1. Small amount of specified service trade or business income will not taint a non-specified service trade or business.

The Final Regulations retained the *de minimis* exception that applies when income from a Specified Service Trade or Business is less than 10% of gross receipts, if the entity has $25,000,000 or less of annual receipts or 5% of gross receipts if annual receipts are greater than $25,000,000.

For example, a consultant could join an engineering firm with less than $25,000,000 in annual receipts, and qualify non-employment income for the exemption, if the consulting revenue is less than 10% of total revenue. A 5% threshold will apply if the engineering firm has more than $25,000,000 a year of revenues.

2. Incidental Trade or Business under common ownership with a SSTB removed from Final Regulations.

Under the Proposed Regulations, if a trade or business shares wage and overheard expenses with a SSTB and is more than 50% commonly owned by the owners of the SSTB, then such trade or business will be treated as incidental to and thus a part of the SSTB unless the gross receipts from the traded or business exceeds 5% of the combined gross receipts of the SSTB and the incidental trade or business.

The Proposed Regulations provided the example of a dermatologist selling skin care products at the dermatology office. The sales from the skin care products would not be considered to be separate from the dermatology practice unless the gross receipts from the sale of skin care products exceed 5% of the combined gross receipts from the sale of the skin care products and the dermatology practice.

This was removed from the Final Regulations and presumably any incidental non-SSTB trade or business will be eligible for the Section 199A deduction regardless of gross receipts.
De Minimis Rule Examples in Final Regulations

**Example One** - Landscape LLC sells lawn care and landscaping equipment and also provides advice and counsel on landscape design for large office parks and residential buildings. The landscape design services include advice on the selection and placement of trees, shrubs, and flowers and are considered to be the performance of services in the field of consulting under paragraphs (b)(1)(vi) and (b)(2)(vii) of this section. Landscape LLC separately invoices for its landscape design services and does not sell the trees, shrubs, or flowers it recommends for use in the landscape design. Landscape LLC maintains one set of books and records and treats the equipment sales and design services as a single trade or business for purposes of sections 162 and 199A. Landscape LLC has gross receipts of $2 million.

$250,000 of the gross receipts is attributable to the landscape design services, an SSTB. Because the gross receipts from the consulting services exceed 10 percent of Landscape LLC’s total gross receipts, the entirety of Landscape LLC’s trade or business is considered an SSTB.

**Example Two** - Animal Care LLC provides veterinarian services performed by licensed staff and also develops and sells its own line of organic dog food at its veterinarian clinic and online. The veterinarian services are considered to be the performance of services in the field of health under paragraphs (b)(1)(i) and (b)(2)(ii) of this section. Animal Care LLC separately invoices for its veterinarian services and the sale of its organic dog food. Animal Care LLC maintains separate books and records for its veterinarian clinic and its development and sale of its dog food. Animal Care LLC also has separate employees who are unaffiliated with the veterinary clinic and who only work on the formulation, marketing, sales, and distribution of the organic dog food products. Animal Care LLC treats its veterinary practice and the dog food development and sales as separate trades or businesses for purposes of section 162 and 199A. Animal Care LLC has gross receipts of $3,000,000. $1,000,000 of the gross receipts is attributable to the veterinary services, an SSTB. Although the gross receipts from the services in the field of health exceed 10 percent of Animal Care LLC’s total gross receipts, the dog food development and sales business is not considered an SSTB due to the fact that the veterinary practice and the dog food development and sales are separate trades or businesses under section 162.
Some Employees Should Become Independent Contractors
and Some Independent Contractors Should Become
Employees

Some employees should become independent contractors and some independent contractors should become employees.

An employee may prefer to be an independent contractor to qualify for the 20% deduction, but is it worth it after paying employment taxes, or will the employer pay extra in recognition of saving employment taxes?

An independent contractor may need to become an employee to allow the employer to have sufficient wages.

The statute indicates that income from services rendered “in the nature of an employee” will not qualify for the deduction.

The Final Regulations indicate that a person who was an employee will be presumed to be an employee for a period of three years even after restructuring to be an independent contractor or going to work for a separate entity that is an independent contractor to the original employer.

The Final Regulations fortunately provide that an individual may rebut the presumption by providing records that are sufficient to corroborate the individual’s status as a non-employee for federal employment tax purposes. Records can include contracts and partnership or shareholder agreements.

Also, the Final Regulations contain an additional example in which an employee has materially modified his relationship with an employer to successfully rebut the presumption.
What Is An Independent Contractor? Here's Why It Matters Under The Trump Tax Law

The IRS leans towards having individuals, in the interest of making sure that everyone is on the tax system with withholding and for the sake of watching out for the benefit of individual workers who have employment tax contributions, workers' compensation, unemployment, and other benefits required by law.

The 2017 Tax Act includes new Internal Revenue Code § 199A, which provides that individuals who are independent contractors can qualify for a 20 percent tax deduction on their independent contractor income without further requirements being met as long as they are engaged in a trade or business and make less than $315,000.00 in taxable income with their spouse if they are married filing jointly, or $157,500.00 of taxable income if filing a single return. Individuals with higher incomes may still be able to take the deduction, in whole or in part, based upon whether they pay wages, and what trade or business they are in. This is covered very well in Tony Nitti’s article “IRS Provides Guidance on 20% Pass-Through Deduction, But Questions Remain” published on August 9th, 2018.

The IRS will be on the lookout for individuals who change from employee to independent contractor status to the extent that they can. In fact, new proposed regulations released on August 8th indicate that the IRS will presume that an individual who was an employee and changed to an independent contractor will continue to be treated as an employee and therefore deprived of a Section 199A 20 percent deduction, unless it can be proven that he or she is truly an independent contractor. Employee relationships will thus be viewed as “sticky” and not easy or safe to change or adapt.

Given the many factors that are taken into account in making a determination, and the significant latitude that courts have in determining what factors apply, even individuals with the best of intentions who restructure their relationships to meet the requirements of being an independent contractor cannot be absolutely sure how the IRS will treat them.

Harsh consequences may befall those who mis-categorize themselves or others.

Individuals who work for themselves are treated differently than employees, but the distinction is sometimes very hard to make.
### Chart 4 – Employment Tax Rates Summary Chart

This chart gives the rates for the above employment taxes. For this chart, assume the employer is in the highest tax bracket, so that an employer in the 37% tax bracket will pay 63% of the employment tax rate (after taking into account that the payments are tax deductible), which will be $6,189 on the first $128,400 of income ($128,400 x 4.82% is $6,189). Also, if the employee is married the additional Medicare tax threshold will be $250,000, or $200,000 if the employee is single.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Employer (Deductible)</th>
<th>Employee</th>
<th>Combined</th>
<th>Self Employed*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>(63% x 7.65%) = 4.82%</td>
<td>7.65% Cumulative Cost: $7,650</td>
<td>12.47% Cumulative Total: $12,470</td>
<td>12.47% Cumulative Total: $12,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$128,400</td>
<td>(63% x 7.65%) = 4.82%</td>
<td>7.65% Cumulative Cost: $9,823</td>
<td>12.47% Cumulative Total: $16,012</td>
<td>12.47% Cumulative Total: $16,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>(63% x 1.45%) = 0.914%</td>
<td>1.45% Cumulative Cost: $10,861</td>
<td>2.34% Cumulative Total: $17,684</td>
<td>2.34% Cumulative Total: $17,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>(63% x 1.45%) = 0.914%</td>
<td>1.45% Cumulative Cost: $11,586</td>
<td>2.34% Cumulative Total: $18,856</td>
<td>2.34% Cumulative Total: $18,856</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Self-employed taxpayers act as employer and employee, and can deduct one-half of their employment tax against their income tax.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Law Test Factor</th>
<th>Behavioral Control</th>
<th>Financial Control</th>
<th>Relationship of the Parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Compliance with instructions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Training</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Integration</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Services rendered personally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Hiring, supervision, and paying assistants</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Set hours to work</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Full time required</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Doing work on employer’s premises</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Order or sequence test</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Oral or written reports</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Payment by the hour, week, or month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Payment of business and/or traveling expenses</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Furnishing tools and materials</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Significant investment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Realization of profit or loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Making services available to the general public</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Continuing relationship</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Working for more than one firm at a time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Right to discharge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Right to terminate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Significant Limitations Apply With Respect to Income Received from Specified Trades or Businesses

For taxpayers with less than $157,500, or $315,000 of taxable income, if married, income from a Specified Trade or Business qualifies for the deduction.

Taxpayers with income above those levels have a phase out or complete elimination of the deduction, as described in the next slide.

The Specified Trades and Businesses are as follows:

1. health 7. athletics
2. law 8. financial services
3. accounting 9. brokerage services
4. actuarial services 10. investing, trading, or dealing in securities, partnership interest or commodities
5. performing arts 11. any business where the principal asset is the reputation or skill of one or more of its employees or owners
6. consulting
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specified Service Trade or Business Under Section 199A (which also arise under Section 1202)</th>
<th>Businesses Not Limited Under Section 199A, but Limited Under Section 1202</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Health</td>
<td>- Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Law</td>
<td>- Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Accounting</td>
<td>- Any banking, insurance, financing, leasing, investing, or similar business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Actuarial Science</td>
<td>- Any farming business (including the business of raising and harvesting trees).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Performing Arts</td>
<td>- Any business involving the production or extraction of products of a character with respect to which a deduction is allowable under section 613 or 613A (i.e. oil, gas, and mining businesses).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Consulting</td>
<td>- Any business of operating a hotel, motel, restaurant, or similar business.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Athletics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Financial Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Brokerage Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Investing Trading, or dealing in securities, commodities etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Principal asset is reputation or skill of one or more employees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Health Services SSTB Under Final Regulations

The Proposed Regulations defined health services as:

- “the field of health means the provision of medical services by individuals such as physicians......and other similar healthcare professionals performing services in their capacity as such who provide medical services directly to a patient (service recipient).”

The Final Regulations removed the bolded words above, which may have the effect of treating many more healthcare professionals as SSTBs.

- The Treasury and the IRS agreed that proximity to patients is not a necessary component to providing services in the field of health.

- The final regulations also provided a number of examples which are included on the following slides. The Treasury and the IRS have provided the following examples in an attempt to clarify what is a health service although some of the examples may not be realistic due to applicable healthcare laws.

Final Regulations still exempt the following from health services:

- “the performance of services in the field of health does not include the operation of health clubs or health spas that provide physical exercise or conditioning to their customers, payment processing, or the research, testing or manufacture and/or sales of pharmaceuticals or medical devices.”
Health Services Example 1

Under the first new example, a board certified pharmacist has a full-time job but also works part-time as needed as an independent contractor to a small medical facility in a rural area. The part-time pharmacist works at the facility and receives and reviews orders from physicians who are providing medical care at the facility, makes recommendations on dosing and alternative medications, performs inoculations, checks for drug interactions, and fills pharmaceutical orders for patients receiving care at the facility. The example concludes that this part-time pharmacist is engaged in the field of health.

• The Preamble to the Final Regulations indicate that this example was provided in response to commentator requesting clarification on whether a retail pharmacy selling pharmaceuticals or medical devices is engaged in a health service trade or business.

• This indicates that “the sale of pharmaceuticals and medical devices by a retail pharmacy is not by itself a trade or business performing services in the field of health, but some services provided by a retail pharmacy through a pharmacist are in the field of health.”

• It seems like filling prescriptions is not a healthcare function but discussing a prescription with a doctor or patient does. It is unclear how a pharmacist could fill prescriptions without talking to a patient or doctor.

• It would also seem that the pharmacist in the above scenario would be an employee and not an independent contractor.
Health Services Example 2

A second new example involves an entity that operates a residential facility for the elderly that provides not only the housing facility, but also meals, laundry and entertainment. The facility entity also contracts with local professional health care organizations to provide residents with a range of medical and health services at the facility, including skilled nursing, physical and occupational therapy, speech pathology, medical social services, medications, medical supplies and equipment used in the facility, ambulance transportation and dietary counseling.

The facility receives all of its income from residents for residential services, and any health and medical services are billed directly by the health care providers. The example concludes that the facility does not perform services in the field of health. Treas. Reg. 1.199A-5(b)(3)(ii)

• Many similarly situated facilities have directly employed nurses, whether skilled or not, who are available to help assure that residents take their medications daily and may check the residents’ vitals. This would most likely be considered incidental.

• Overall, this example should prove to be a victory for residential facilities that do not provide a significant amount of services that involve skilled nursing.
Health Services Example 3

The third new example provides that a company that operates day surgery centers and does not employ physicians, nurses or medical assistants, does not perform services in the field of health, even though it presumably provides medical equipment, medical supplies and support staff other than physicians, nurses and medical assistants.

The surgery center in the example enters into agreements with other professional medical organizations or directly with individual medical professionals to perform the procedures and provide all medical care.

• The commentary to the Final Regulations indicate that several commentators asked for clarification regarding when two separate activities would generally be viewed separately in the healthcare field and this was given as a “fact pattern that the Treasury Department and the IRS do not believe is a trade or business providing services in the field of health.”

• As a practical matter, the vast majority of ambulatory surgery centers (“ASC”’s) simply allow doctors to bring their patients in for procedures, and then charge a separate procedure fee, with the doctor charging a “procedure fee” and taking care of all physician services before and after the procedure at the doctor’s own office.

• The restructuring of surgery centers as the result of the Final Regulations may involve establishing nursing and personal care service companies that would hire the patient management staff of the ambulatory surgical center, and any anesthesiologists or nurse anesthetists who have been performing services at the center.

• There would likely be violations of the Federal and some state anti-kickback statutes when surgery centers are paid directly or indirectly by anesthesiologists, anesthetists or anesthesia entities for the right to perform anesthesia services at the facility.
Health Services Example 4

The fourth new example involves the “only provider” of a patented test that is used to detect a particular medical condition. This entity accepts test orders only from health care professionals, and does not have contact with patients. Its employees do not diagnose, treat or manage any aspect of medical care. Only the manager of the testing operations has an advanced medical degree and no other employees are health care professionals, although they are well-educated technical professionals who each received more than a year of a specialized training in what the company does. The company analyzes results from testing and provides its clients, the medical professionals, with a report summarizing the findings. It does not discuss results or the patient’s diagnosis or treatment with any health care provider or patient, and is not informed as to the resulting diagnosis or treatment. The company is found not to be providing services in the field of health.

• This example provides very clear guidance for the majority of testing and similar operations, which will typically include a statistical or charted summary with the test results for each patient to indicate whether the test findings are within normal and abnormal ranges.

• It appears, as discussed in Example 1, that there may be issues if the testing facility consults directly with the physician regarding recommended courses of treatment or assistance that extends beyond providing the report generated by the testing.
Health Services – Miscellaneous

• **Stem Cell and PRP Injection Therapies** – One commentator argued that gene therapy and stem cell therapy should be treated the same as pharmaceuticals so that their manufacture and production is not considered to be a health service. Due to the fact that these therapies are generally provided by a physician who examines the patient, handles or oversees the extraction, and handles or oversees the re-injection, which is often done with ultrasound or other x-ray style guidance, these treatments will likely be treated as health services.

• **Veterinarian Services** – There is a lengthy discussion of veterinary medicine and animal health in the history of the characterization thereof as being a health service. Apparently, veterinarians lobbied hard to be excluded, and this was not successful.

• **Physical Therapists** - One commentator requested a dividing line between physical therapists and other health-related occupations, noting that physical therapists are paid less than doctors and that Congress initially attempted to exclude physical therapists from being reimbursed Medicare and Medicaid. The Treasury Department and the IRS declined to exclude physical therapists from being health care professionals.

• **Remote Radiologists and Non-Patient Contact Professionals** - One commenter suggested that services are not performed in the field of health unless “performed directly to a patient.” The Treasury Department and the IRS agreed that proximity to patients is not a necessary component of providing services in the field of health, so the Final Regulations removed the requirement that medical services be provided directly to a patient.
The Qualified Property Test

The Qualified Property Test is that the 20% Qualified Business Income deduction for a high earner taxpayer cannot exceed 2.5% of the Unadjusted Basis Immediately After Acquisition (“UBIA”).

The Unadjusted Basis Immediately After Acquisition is the original cost of depreciable assets. The Proposed Regulations provide that improvements are considered as separate assets with separate tracked lifetimes under the statute.

The Unadjusted Basis Immediately After Acquisition disappears completely at the later of (1) 10 years after acquisition and placement into service; or (2) when the depreciation period for the particular asset ends.

Therefore, a building depreciated over 39 years will have its Unadjusted Basis Immediately After Acquisition amount for the full 39 years.

An air conditioning system with a five or seven year life will have its Unadjusted Basis Immediately After Acquisition for ten years.

**HYBRID METHOD** - use 50% of wages or the sum of 2.5% of Qualified Property plus 25% of wages.
Let’s talk now about strategies as opposed to the 199 technical points that we could spend the rest of our time reviewing.

These strategies may not mean a lot as I review, but these are the “take it back to the office and apply it” items that can save solid tax dollars for your clients, and give you a useful checklist for what your law firm or CPA firm can do to help clients qualify for the strategy.

Taking into account that single taxpayers with under $157,500 in taxable income and married under $315,000 can take the deduction for any specified trade or business, and without reference to whether wages or qualified property levels apply:

a. **Don’t Use S Corporations for Low Income Taxpayers.**

Do not use an S-Corporation for these clients, unless you have to have wages to justify a pension plan or can put part ownership under someone other than the taxpayer or the taxpayer's spouse that files a joint return with the taxpayer.

If there is no significant pension plan, and a married contractor can earn $315,000, as a Schedule C taxpayer, do so without going into an S-Corporation, so that she does not have to pay herself reasonable wages under Revenue Ruling 74-44 and the long line of case law requiring S-Corporation shareholders to pay themselves reasonable compensation if rules because the wages do not qualify for the Section 199A deduction.
Section 199A Strategies, continued

b. **Use S Corporations for High Income Taxpayers.**

If your client is well over the $217,500, or $415,000 if married, threshold, then the business is probably best suited to be an S-Corporation, to avoid employment taxes and to enable the person to pay himself or herself sufficient wages to qualify.
d. **Minimize or Eliminate Guaranteed Payments From Partnerships.**

Your clients who derive income from entities taxed as partnerships should minimize guaranteed payments that they receive, because this income does not qualify for the Section 199A deduction.

If your client is receiving a guaranteed payment that needs to be wages, then convey the partnership interest to the S-Corporation if debt does not exceed basis, and the compensation paid to the individual owner of the S-Corporation does not have to be classified as a guaranteed payment.

Remember that hot assets (ordinary income assets) from the sale of a partnership interest qualify for the 199A deduction.

e. **Asset Sales Yielding Ordinary Income Can Qualify Under Section 199A.**

The Proposed Regulations specifically confirm this for situations where a partner’s sale of a partnership interest triggers “hot asset” income (from accounts receivable and appreciable items).

This should also apply where there is a sale of assets and ordinary income form the sale of accounts receivable, and depreciation recapture.
f. **Reduce Income.**

Do whatever you can to get the individual or married couple income below the $315,000/$157,500 levels if this will save considerable taxes:

1. Defined benefit or cash balance pension planning.

2. 199A asset acquisitions.

3. Shelter capital gains in Charitable Remainder Uni-Trusts or by using deferred exchanges under 1031, which now only applies to investment or business real estate.

4. Oil and gas investments (100% deduction for individual’s intangible drilling costs (IDC)).

5. Conservation easements.

6. Put other family members to work and on the payroll to reduce taxpayer’s income and increase wages.

7. Pay past due and prior earned amounts to others in 2018.

8. Defer sale of capital gain asset to next year if sale will increase income above the threshold levels.
g. **Establish Arm’s-Length Compensation and Sales Arrangements.**

A specified trade or business owned by a high-earner taxpayer may pay arms length management, marketing, billing and factoring fees to a separate company whose income will not be Specified Trade or Service Business income until the final regulations come out.

When the final regulations come out, the separate company can be owned by children and/or 678 Trusts which are considered as owned by the beneficiary who had to withdrawal right over all assets. Google Gassman Forbes 678 to see article on this.

The ownership can be under complex trusts, which should receive a $157,500 threshold at both the trust level and at the level of each beneficiary until the final regulations come out.

If the final regulations restrict use of complex trusts convert the complex trust into a 678 trust, so for each young child, you will have $157,500 times 7% savings.

h. **Plan for Wages.**

Remember that the wages need to be 28.57% of what the income without wages would otherwise be, and include not only wages themselves, but also pension contributions and employer paid health benefits.

You may, therefore, already have significant “wages” but may not know it.
Section 199A Strategies, continued

i. Decide **what income to aggregate** between related companies and what you can do to prevent aggregation in later years. Remember you can’t aggregate SSTBs.

j. **Separate life insurance agency** income from investment advisory and annuity sales income.

k. **Restructure celebrities and well known professionals** so that their earnings come from business operations and not from royalties or endorsements.

l. Make **real estate rentals and other “passive” activities** active in order to qualify such activities for the Section 199A deduction.

m. **Pay off loans** to eliminate interest expenses to qualify for a larger deduction, or maintain debt if interest expense will reduce income below the high earner thresholds.

n. Remember that **1231 property income** – capital gains income from the sale of business property – will not qualify, but losses will reduce 199A income. (See Section 1231 Summary Chart on next slide.)

The Section 1239 rules also apply.
The characterization of gain of or losses from Section 1231 property applies in a consistent manner for purposes of Section 199A. Section 1231 property is defined as property used in a trade or business that (1) is subject to Section 167 depreciation held for more than one year, and (2) real property used in the trade or business held for one year.

All Section 1231 property gains and losses are netted. If the result is a net gain, then such gain is taxed as a long-term capital gain, and if the result is a net loss, then such loss is treated as an ordinary loss.

QBI does not include any “item of short-term capital gain, short-term capital loss, long-term capital gain, or long-term capital loss.” Section 1231 property is considered Qualified Property, which can be used to help eliminate limitations on the Section 199A deduction. Section 1231 Property sold for a net gain will not be considered QBI, but will be taxed at the more favorable capital gains rates, which peak at 20%.

Section 1231 is not to be confused with Section 1239 which converts capital gains into ordinary income if depreciable property is sold to a related party.
Section 199A Strategies, continued

p. Check **Alternative Minimum Tax**, although the Section 199A deduction is not a preference item for AMT tax purposes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Included in Taxable Income</th>
<th>Included in Alternative Minimum Taxable Income?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deduction</td>
<td>Not Allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and Local Taxes</td>
<td>Not Allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation Deductions</td>
<td>Allowed (Some Restrictions Apply/Subject to Different calculation Method)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itemized Medical Expenses</td>
<td>Allowed (To the extent exceeding 10% of Adjusted Gross Income)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible Drilling Costs</td>
<td>Allowed (Some Restrictions Apply)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain/Loss From Sale or Exchange of Property</td>
<td>Allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 199A Deduction</td>
<td>Allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Interest Payment Deduction</td>
<td>Allowed (Except upon home equity loans)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Operating Losses</td>
<td>Allowed (but may not exceed 90% of AMTI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pages 82-83 of Section 199A (and 1202 Handbook)
q. Have **marijuana-related businesses** in flow through entities that have plenty of wages or qualified property to receive the 20% deduction.

---

**Chart 11 – SECTION 280E EFFECT CHART.** This chart displays the effect of Section 280E on business deductions.

- **Deductible:**
  - **YES**
    - Revenue: $1,000,000.00
    - Costs of Goods Sold (COGS): $900,000.00
    - Gross Income: $100,000.00
    - Net Income: $50,000.00
    - Other Deductions (Ex: 199A): $22,000.00
    - Taxpayer’s Taxable Income: $28,000.00
  
  - **NO**
    - Expenses (any amount paid or incurred during the taxable year): $50,000.00

---

Thanks for ‘hashing’ this out!
Section 199A Strategies, continued

r. **Get Married or Divorced**, depending upon the circumstances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consideration</th>
<th>Single Status</th>
<th>Married Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sale of a primary residence (Section 121(b))</td>
<td>Capital gain is excluded up to $250,000</td>
<td>Capital gain is excluded up to $500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal estate tax exemption</td>
<td>Can only shield assets up to $11.2 million from estate, gift, and generation-skipping tax</td>
<td>Can shield assets up to $22.4 million from estate, gift, and generation-skipping tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Tax Penalty and Bonuses</td>
<td>High-earning individuals may be better separate from a financial standpoint</td>
<td>Two high-earning individuals who get married may be subject to an increase in tax; one high-earner and one low-earner getting hitched may create tax savings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare surtax threshold (additional 0.9% tax above the threshold)</td>
<td>$200,000 per person threshold</td>
<td>$250,000 per married couple threshold (a $150,000 threshold loss)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax on Social Security benefits</td>
<td>Can earn up to $25,000 and not be taxed on Social Security benefits</td>
<td>Can earn up to $32,000 and not be taxed on Social Security benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferability of assets upon death</td>
<td>Subject to estate tax if decedent is over $11,180,000 exemption amount on death</td>
<td>Surviving spouse can receive assets tax-free upon the death of the first spouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security survivorship benefits</td>
<td>Unmarried children under 18 can receive benefits;</td>
<td>Spouses can receive partial benefits if they are under</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consideration</td>
<td>Single Status</td>
<td>Married Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibly parents where the deceased provided at least half of their parent’s support.</td>
<td>Retirement age, and may be eligible for 100% of the deceased’s benefit if they have reached retirement age.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferability of assets upon divorce</td>
<td>N/A.</td>
<td>Tax-free passing of assets upon divorce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to roll over an IRA on the death of a spouse</td>
<td>Cannot treat it as one’s own IRA; cannot make continued contributions to the IRA.</td>
<td>Allowed to roll over the IRA upon death without a tax hit; can treat the IRA as the surviving spouse’s own; can continue to make contributions to the IRA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating losses (NOL)</td>
<td>Cannot transfer to others.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Party Losses (Section 167(e))</td>
<td>Can take the losses on the sale to another individual.</td>
<td>Can take the losses on the sale to his spouse.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Medical and Nursing Expenses (to the extent they exceed 10% of Adjusted Gross Income) | May not be able to be claimed because of too high income (where the expenses fall under 10% of adjusted) | Large medical expenses are more likely to impact the marital income, and may save thousands in taxes.

Chart 17, Marital Considerations Chart, continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consideration</th>
<th>Single Status</th>
<th>Married Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sharing Capital Loss Carryforward (the excess of $3,000 is carried forward into future years)</td>
<td>gross income) or too low, where much of a potential deduction is wasted</td>
<td>An unmarried individual with loss carryforward from capital losses can marry someone with capital gains, and use the loss carryforward to reduce their capital gains liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Brackets</td>
<td>Enters the highest bracket of 37% at $500,000 of taxable income</td>
<td>Enters the highest bracket of 37% at $600,000 of taxable income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deduction</td>
<td>Able to claim a $12,000 standard deduction</td>
<td>Able to claim a $24,000 standard deduction or two $12,000 standard deductions if filing separately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
s. **Warn about Substantial Understatement Test** – a single dollar of Section 199A eligible deduction causes the substantial understatement test to go from the greater of $5,000 or 10% of the tax required to be shown, to 5% of the tax, for no apparent reason.

t. **If W-2 Wages and UBIA of Qualified Property is not reported, then the taxpayer’s share of such items will be presumed to be zero!**

The Final Regulations clarified that if one item is not reported then it will not result in other items being presumed to be zero.

The Final Regulations also added a provision that would allow unreported items to be reported on an amended or late filed return for any open tax year.

t. **Reconsider Entity Selection**, based on Section 199A Factors.

(See chart on next slide.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue/Factor</th>
<th>Sole Proprietorship</th>
<th>Partnership</th>
<th>S Corporation</th>
<th>C Corporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tax Rates</strong></td>
<td>Owners are taxed at individual rates for salary and income of the company</td>
<td>Owners are taxed at individual rates for salary and income of the company</td>
<td>Owners are taxed at individual rates for salary and income of the company</td>
<td>Entity taxed for income at 21%; shareholders taxed for dividends and distributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Double Taxation</strong></td>
<td>Not subject</td>
<td>Not subject</td>
<td>Not subject</td>
<td>Dividends or distributions taxed separately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Availability of Section 199A deduction</strong></td>
<td>Available (depending on limitations)</td>
<td>Available (depending on limitations)</td>
<td>Available (depending on limitations)</td>
<td>Cannot qualify for Section 199A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated Earnings</strong></td>
<td>Taxed to owner as reported on their Form K-1, regardless of whether distributions are made</td>
<td>Taxed to owner as reported on their Form K-1, regardless of whether distributions are made</td>
<td>Taxed to owner as reported on their Form K-1, regardless of whether distributions are made</td>
<td>Taxed at 21% corporate rate; beware of accumulated earning tax issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guaranteed payments</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Excluded from QBI; Not considered to be W-2 wages</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Owner provides services (reasonable compensation issues)</strong></td>
<td>Self-Employed (no W-2 wages); currently not subject to reasonable compensation</td>
<td>Self-Employed (no W-2 wages); currently not subject to reasonable compensation</td>
<td>W-2 Wages; excluded from QBI and subject to reasonable compensation rules</td>
<td>W-2 Wages; subject to reasonable compensation rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business is a Specified Service Trade or Business</strong></td>
<td>Eligible if owner’s taxable income is under lower-income thresholds; Limited if in between lower- and higher-income thresholds; Lost if taxable income is greater than higher-income thresholds</td>
<td>Eligible if owner’s taxable income is under lower-income thresholds; Limited if in between lower- and higher-income thresholds; Lost if taxable income is greater than higher-income thresholds</td>
<td>Eligible if owner’s taxable income is under lower-income thresholds; Limited if in between lower- and higher-income thresholds; Lost if taxable income is greater than higher-income thresholds</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High Income earner as owner</strong></td>
<td>May be limited if Wage/Property Hurdle is not met</td>
<td>May be limited if Wage/Property Hurdle is not met</td>
<td>May be limited if Wage/Property Hurdle is not met</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employees (W-2 Wages)</strong></td>
<td>Consider impact on Wage/Property Limitation, adjust if necessary</td>
<td>Consider impact on Wage/Property Limitation, adjust if necessary</td>
<td>Consider impact on Wage/Property Limitation, adjust if necessary</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issue/Factor</strong></td>
<td>Sole Proprietorship</td>
<td>Partnership</td>
<td>S Corporation</td>
<td>C Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent contractors</strong></td>
<td>Hurts in application of Wage/Property Hurdle; Compensation not considered W-2 wages</td>
<td>Hurts in application of Wage/Property Hurdle; Compensation not considered W-2 wages</td>
<td>Hurts in application of Wage/Property Hurdle; Compensation not considered W-2 wages</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qualified property basis</strong></td>
<td>Consider impact on Wage/Property Hurdle, adjust if necessary</td>
<td>Consider impact on Wage/Property Hurdle, adjust if necessary</td>
<td>Consider impact on Wage/Property Hurdle, adjust if necessary</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State and local tax deductions</strong></td>
<td>Deduction Limited</td>
<td>Deduction Limited</td>
<td>Deduction Limited</td>
<td>Fully Deductible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical expenses and plans deductions</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Premiums and medical reimbursement plans are deductible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 199A Strategies, continued

**u. Recharacterize and change entities or even professions** for services and businesses that will not be considered to be Specified Trades or Businesses.

See Forbes blog by Alan Gassman entitled “Beautiful Losers: The Discriminatory Nature of the 199A Proposed Regulations” for full article.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Includes</th>
<th>Does Not Include</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Where the Principal Asset of The Trade or Business is the Reputation or Skill of One or More Employees or Owners</td>
<td>SEE DISCUSSION BELOW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. The final category of a Specified Service Trade or Business involves a situation where the principal asset of the trade or business is the reputation or skill of one or more employees or owners. Many advisors were concerned with the potential breadth of this “catch all” provision. This was one of the few leniencies provided for in the Regulations.

Fortunately, under the Regulations, the Treasury choose to narrowly construe this category, and it will not apply unless one of the following three items exists:

(A) Fees or other compensation is received for endorsement of products or services;

(B) License or fees are received for the use of an individual’s image, likeness, name, signature, voice, trademark, or any other symbols associated therewith; or

(C) Compensation is received for appearing at an event or on radio, television, or other media.
1. Charitable Distributions. If the Trust Agreement authorizes distributions to charity, then such distributions can carry otherwise taxable income out to the charity that is not taxed. The family therefore gets the equivalent of a charitable deduction that might not otherwise be available because of the high itemized deduction threshold that now applies to individuals ($12,000 for single individuals and $24,000 for married couples filing jointly). For example, a $20,000 charitable contribution made by a 37% tax bracket individual will commonly not result in any tax deduction whatsoever because of the $24,000 standard deduction that now applies. Using a complex trust that specifically allows for charitable payments could save 37% of $20,000 ($7,400) in taxes for a high-income bracket family that can place income producing property or S corporation or partnership interests under a complex trust.

Charitable contributions can be made by the end of a calendar year and still count to reduce the income of the trust for the previous year, assuming an election to do so is filed on the trust’s amended tax return for the previous year. For example, if a trust makes a charitable contribution in Year 2, it can elect to have the contribution treated as if it was made in Year 1 as long as the election is made on an amended tax return for Year 1, which can be filed as late as the deadline for Year 2’s tax return on April 15 of Year 3, or October 15 if extended. The distribution can reduce the trust’s taxable income for Year 1 or Year 2, as decided by the Trustee.

2. State and Local Tax (SALT) Deduction. Complex trusts can deduct up to $10,000 of state and local taxes each year, including real estate taxes, so that they can own personal use real estate and receive a tax deduction that the grantor and other family members may not be eligible for because of the $10,000 per year, per taxpayer limit on the deductibility of state and local taxes.

For example, a vacation home that is subject to $30,000 a year in property taxes could be owned one-third each by three separate trusts for the primary benefit of each separate child of a married couple, to enable all of the property taxes to be deductible, assuming that each of the trusts has $10,000 or more of otherwise taxable income.
COMPLEX TRUST ADVANTAGES, continued

3. Spraying and Allocation Flexibility. The trustee can decide which beneficiaries receive how much each year. The trust may exert polite pressure on the beneficiaries or even pay beneficial expenses on their behalf instead of outright to them to influence behavior in a way that can be far superior to letting them have direct ownership in a management or intellectual property company. This provides significant flexibility that is not available for S corporations and partnerships because of the second class of stock and substantial economic effect rules.262

4. 65 Day Look Back. In addition, distributions made during the first 65 days of the calendar year can be considered to have been made in the previous calendar year for income distribution purposes. This allows the trustee and family members to confer with their tax advisors after December 31st to determine where income can best be allocated for the previous year.

5. Reduced Chance of Audit. Having income payable to a trust and distributed to low bracket taxpayers can reduce the chances of audit. Complex trusts file a Form 1041, and 1041 audits are very rare, if existent at all. Audits of low bracket taxpayers occur at a much lower frequency than the audits of high bracket taxpayers. This is certainly not a good sole reason to use irrevocable trusts, but it is an advantage.
MORE COMPLEX TRUST ADVANTAGES

1. **Tax-Free Distributions of Appreciated Assets.** Appreciated assets can be transferred out of a trust to beneficiaries without triggering income tax that would apply if a trust were taxed as a corporation, or as a partnership if certain “mixing bowl” and related rules apply.

2. **New Fair Market Value Income Fair Market Value Tax Basis on Death of Power Holders.** Assets held in a trust can receive a new income tax basis to avoid payment of capital gains tax on appreciation that occurs up through the date of the grantor’s death, if the grantor has what is known as general Power of Appointment over trust assets. Court Orders or non-judicial reformation agreements may provide an individual with a short life expectancy with the right to direct how trust assets might pass within reasonable parameters, which can result in a new fair market value date of death income tax basis as if the Power Holder was the owner of the assets. This would include a power to appoint assets to creditors of the estate of the Power Holder, even if such Power is only exercisable with the consent of an independent party. This would be consistent with the intention of a grantor who set up a trust for estate tax purposes and now wants to assert a reasonable degree of control because estate tax is no longer an issue, and the situation among family members may have changed.
COMPLEX TRUST DISADVANTAGES:

1. **Formation and Annual Carrying Costs.** Costs and possible repercussions of forming or changing irrevocable trusts should of course be considered. This includes consideration of the cost of forming a trust or changing a disregarded trust to a complex trust, filing of income tax returns, and associated formalities.

2. **Loss of 179 deductions.** Unlike a “special allocation partnership,” depreciation and Section 179 deductions are not available for trusts, or for beneficiaries who receive trust distributions in the year that Section 179 property is acquired. Trusts may have to write off furniture, equipment, and other acquired business property under the Section 168 rules, which will, in many cases, give them the same deduction, but sometimes over a longer period of time.

Fortunately, the Section 168(k) bonus depreciation will often be as good as the Section 179 deduction. The Tax Cut and Jobs Act expanded Section 168(k) to enable taxpayers to immediately expense 100% of qualified business property placed in service between September 27, 2017 and January 1, 2023.263 Although, the percentage of qualified business property that may be immediately expensed begins to decrease after 2023, and is eliminated after 2027, Section 168(k) bonus depreciation provides temporary relief from the inability of trusts to take a Section 179 deduction.

For example, if a construction business purchases trucks for its workers to use, the company can choose to depreciate the property all at once (because it has a life of less than 20 years). A trust could have part-ownership in the company and be able to claim the deduction on its tax return, assuming the company is a flow-through entity. In addition to the instant deduction the company will get in the first year, the owners, including the trust, can continue to use the trucks as Qualified Property under Section 199A for at least 10 years.
COMPLEX TRUST DISADVANTAGES, continued:

3. **Partnership Taxation May Apply.** Trusts that engage in business may be taxed as partnerships instead of complex trusts if the case law that existed before the “check the box” regulations were issued in 1997 would have caused the trust to be considered to be an “association” under the Supreme Court decision of *Morrissey v. Commissioner*, and the subsequent Section 7701 Regulations. There are no known cases where this has occurred, and the result would be that the beneficiaries of the trust will be considered to be partners and thus taxable on the retained income of the trust that would have otherwise been taxed at the trust level.

4. **Disclosure and Fiduciary Duty Differences.** The Trustee of a trust normally has a duty to account annually, disclose trust actions, and to act for the best interest of the beneficiaries. These fiduciary duties will commonly exceed the duties that a general partner has under a partnership, or that a manager has under an LLC, but may be altered by agreement with adult beneficiaries, and selecting an appropriate situs (“state or country of formation”) for a given trust normally is a duty.

Clients with irrevocable trusts currently in place that are treated as disregarded for income tax purposes should review the situation and discuss with their advisors whether these structures should be altered in order to take advantage of the income tax planning opportunities that may exist for irrevocable trusts and the structures associated therewith.
678 Ways To Qualify For The 199A 20% Deduction

For example, Joe Accountant owns an S corporation that operates his very successful accounting firm, and may wish to establish an arm’s-length management company that provides billing, management, marketing, and other services for his accounting firm.

Joe’s arm’s-length management company may be owned one-third (33%) each, by separate trusts for his three children, who each earn less than $157,500 but then Joe loses control of the ownership interests and might not want the income to actually be paid to the children or they may give the interests to people Joe does not like or lose them in a divorce. Instead of using direct ownership or non-grantor trusts, which are taxed as separate entities that are disfavored under the new proposed regulations Joe may choose to use what are called "Section 678 Trusts", which are treated as being owned by one or more of the beneficiaries of the trust for income tax purposes, but allow a trustee selected by Joe to use the trust income and assets for such purposes and people as the trustee determines to be appropriate.

Many advisors will recommend the use of “non-grantor” complex trusts which are taxed on their own retained income and can also provide significant tax savings, which include the ability to take the Section 199A deduction as a taxpayer with taxable income of less than $157,500, avoidance of approximately $2,000 a year in taxes on the first $12,500 of retained income that is taxed at lower brackets and immune from the 3.85 Medicare tax, the ability to have what is equivalent to a charitable deduction for amounts distributed to charities, the ability to deduct up to $10,000 of property taxes when personal use property or owned by the trust, and the ability to retain or pay moneys or investments as determined by the trustee each year.

Disadvantages of non-grantor trusts include the need to file an annual income tax return, the need to determine how much income to retain and how much to pay out, and that the proposed regulations that were released on August 8 provide that the Section 199A advantages will not apply for these trusts when they are used for the purpose of avoiding Section 199A taxes, although it is not clear whether the IRS has the authority to issue this type of rule. The proposed regulations also provide that multiple complex trusts will be aggregated into being considered to be only one trust when they are formed by one grantor or a grantor’s spouse and each can benefit the same beneficiaries to avoid taxes. This rule can be avoided by simply having separate trusts established for the lifetime benefit of separate individuals even if common beneficiaries may benefit after the death of the lifetime beneficiaries.

My recent blog post on the discriminatory nature of Section 199A makes mention of having high-income taxpayers falling under the SSTB (Specified Service Trade or Business) category consider the use of management, billing, marketing, and intellectual property entities held at arm’s-length to reduce taxes, and discusses that if the proposed regulations that were issued on August 8, 2018 become final, then such entities will be aggregated with the affiliated SSTB and thus ineligible for the Section 199A deduction if the owner’s taxable income exceeds the $207,500/$415,000 levels, or phased out ratably for income exceeding $157,500 for single filers and $315,000 for married filers. Therefore, taxpayers with income above these levels may shift ownership of such entities to trusts that can be held for descendants, parents, and other lower-bracket taxpayers (those with income below the $157,500/$315,000 levels).

The same applies for high income taxpayers that have income from Specified Trades and Businesses that do not have sufficient wages or qualified property to allow for the full, if any Section 199A deduction.
Each of the four 678 Trusts would receive $150,000 of Section 199A income which will be taxed at 29.6% minus $1,000.

Annual Expected Savings of 7% of $600,000 = $42,000 per yr.

Dr. T
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CHILD 1 678 TRUST

CHILD 2 678 TRUST

CHILD 3 678 TRUST

CHILD 4 678 TRUST

MANAGEMENT, MARKETING & BILLING COMPANY

Net Income $1,500,000 (Per Year)
Combined Section 199A and Estate Tax Savings

Charitable and SALT Trust Alternative Conceptual Chart
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Complex Trust (Includes Charitable Beneficiaries)

Holding LLC
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Child 1 678 Trust

Child 2 678 Trust
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Annual Expected Savings Exceeds $72,000 per year
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What Do The New 199A Regulations Say?
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Chart 20 – TYPES OF TRUSTS CHART.

Complex Electing Small Business Trust “ESBT”

1. Can be owner of an S Corporation.
2. Can allow a non-resident alien beneficiary to effectively be a member of an S Corporation.
3. S Corporation income taxed at the highest rate bracket, regardless of whether income is distributed to beneficiaries.
4. ESBTs may have multiple beneficiaries, and mandatory distributions of income are not required.
5. Distributions made to charity will be subject to the same rules that apply to individuals.
6. 3.8% Medicare tax begins to apply at $12,500+ of AGI.

Beneficiary Defective Trust (aka BDT or 678 Trust)

Beneficiary treated as owner for federal income tax purposes.

Qualified Subchapter S Trust “QSST”

1. Can be owner of an S corporation.
2. Can have only one named beneficiary.
3. Must pay all “fiduciary accounting income” to trust beneficiary each year.
4. All S corporation K-1 income taxed to beneficiary of trust.

Complex Trust

1. Taxed as a separate entity to the extent that income is not distributed.
2. “Distributable Net Income” paid out can carry the income to lower bracket taxpayers.
3. The trust has an effective tax rate of 24.1% on the first $12,500 of income and 37% above that.
4. Distributions made within 65 days of the next tax year can be considered to have been made in the previous tax year.
5. Distributions made to charity can carry income to the charity to in effect give a tax deduction without a 60% adjusted gross income limitation or itemized deduction considerations.
6. 3.8% Medicare tax begins to apply at $12,500+ of AGI.
7. Unlike a C corporation - No tax upon liquidation of the trust.
8. Can shield trustee and beneficiaries from operational liability similar to a corporation depending upon state law.

CHARITABLE REMAINDER TRUST

Will be taxed on 199A income as provided in Regulations – Proposed Regulations simply asked for commentary.
Refresher on Types of Entities That Can Hold S-Corporation Stock

ABC COMPANY
(Taxed as S-Corporation)

S-Corporation
(Electing to treat Subsidiary as Q-SUB)

The following entities will not qualify to own S corp stock:
1. Non-Resident Aliens
2. Partnerships
3. Charitable Remainder Trusts (CRATs and CRUTS)
4. IRS or pensions
5. Off-Shore Trusts
6. Elvis the dog

Varieties of Grantor Trusts:
1. GRATs
2. Grantor SLATs
3. Grantor CLATs
Can make protective ESBT Election.

Single Person, owns 100% of LLC
Disregarded LLC (Not safe with non-community spouse married couples)
501(c)(3) Organization
Beneficiary Defective Trust (aka BDIT or 678 Trust)
Complex Electing Small Business Trust “ESBT” (Non-Resident Alien as beneficiary-ok)
QUALIFIED SUBCHAPTER S TRUST “QSST”

Grantor Trust
Disregarded LLC (Not safe with non-community spouse married couples)
501(c)(3) Organization
Beneficiary Defective Trust (aka BDIT or 678 Trust)
CALCULATING PHASE-OUT SITUATIONS WHEN THEY APPLY

Chart 16 - SECTION 199A PHASE-OUT CALCULATIONS CHART. For this chart, assume the taxpayer is a joint filer who owns an S corporation. The taxpayer’s taxable income is listed at the top of the chart and the left column shows if the business is a Specified Service, the flow-through income derived from the business, the W-2 wages paid by the business and the Qualified Property owned by the business. If Qualified Property is not listed in the left column, assume it is $0. The resulting percentage in the right five columns is what remains of the 20% Section 199A deduction. A full deduction is 20%, and the deduction reduced by half would be 10%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario:</th>
<th>$315,000</th>
<th>$340,000</th>
<th>$365,000</th>
<th>$390,000</th>
<th>$415,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specified Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income - $100,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages - $60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specified Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income - $100,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>11.25%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages - $0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Specified Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income - $100,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages - $60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Specified Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income - $100,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages - $0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Specified Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income - $100,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages - $20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property - $600,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Specified Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income - $100,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages - $10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property - $200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NOTE - - As written by the statute, any ESBT will not qualify for the 199A deduction, and although the Proposed Regulations say that it will, can regulations override an incorrect statute?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If the Grantor is Living:</th>
<th>After the Grantor’s Death:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If disregarded (Grantor Trust) - Income is taxed at Grantor’s individual income bracket</td>
<td>S-Corporation income taxed a highest bracket</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If Complex:
1. S-Corporation income is taxed at highest income tax bracket
2. Other income taxed at beneficiary’s bracket if distributed; if not income is taxed at compressed trust brackets
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